Ongoing Multi-Model Evaluation of Operational Air Quality Forecasts Over North America: 2017-2020

<u>Mike Moran</u>¹, Patrick Manseau², Si Jun Peng², Ivanka Stajner³, Johannes Flemming⁴, Jeff McQueen³, Pius Lee⁵, Miha Razinger⁴, and Radenko Pavlovic²

 ¹Air Quality Research Division, Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC), Toronto, Ontario, Canada
 ²Canadian Meteorological Centre, ECCC, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
 ³U.S. National Weather Service, College Park, Maryland, U.S.A.
 ⁴Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service (CAMS), European Centre for Medium-range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF), Reading, United Kingdom
 ⁵Air Resources Laboratory, NOAA, College Park, Maryland, U.S.A.

19th CMAS Conference (Virtual)

27-29 Oct. 2020

Chapel Hill, North Carolina, U.S.A.





BACKGROUND: OPERATIONAL NUMERICAL WEATHER PREDICTION (NWP) CENTRES HAVE SHARED AND COMPARED FORECASTS ROUTINELY FOR DECADES



INTRODUCTION

- Seven groups (now 9) in Europe making operational regional AQ forecasts have shared and compared their forecasts since 2009 under the MACC-I, -II, and -III and CAMS projects
- In North America, while operational regional AQ forecasts have been made for over a decade in both Canada and the U.S., no comparable routine side-by-side evaluation and comparison of forecasts had taken place until recently
- ECCC, NOAA, and ECMWF are now collaborating to exchange operational AQ forecasts for North America starting from January 2017, and ECCC has built an automated verification system to receive, ingest, and compare these forecasts
- The rest of this presentation will describe this new North American effort and present some results from different available analyses

PARTICIPATING AQ FORECAST SYSTEMS

The following four operational AQ systems have been used for regular multi-model performance analyses for North America since January 2017

System	Model	Origin	Туре	Grid Size (km)	Pollutants	Wildfire Emissions	Chemical Data Assimilation	Forecast Starts	Forecast Duration / Availability
RAQDPS	GEM- MACH	Canada (ECCC)	Regional	10	O ₃ PM _{2.5} NO ₂	Νο	No	00Z, 12Z	72-hr / Hourly
FireWork	GEM- MACH	Canada (ECCC)	Regional	10	PM _{2.5}	Yes	No	00Z, 12Z	72-hr / Hourly
NAQFC	CMAQ	U.S.A . (NOAA)	Regional	12	O ₃ PM _{2.5}	Yes	No	06Z, <mark>12Z</mark>	<mark>48-hr</mark> / Hourly
IFS	CAMS	Europe (ECMWF)	Global	40	O ₃ PM _{2.5} NO ₂	Yes	Yes	00Z, <mark>12Z</mark>	120-hr / <mark>3-Hourly</mark>

***FireWork** is a <u>seasonal</u> (April-October) system identical to RAQDPS except for the inclusion of near-realtime wildfire emissions. Since NAQFC and CAMS-IFS both include wildfire emissions, FireWork $PM_{2.5}$ forecasts are considered as ECCC $PM_{2.5}$ forecasts for multi-model performance analysis in wildfire season.

ECCC OPERATIONAL AQ SYSTEM: RAQDPS (Regional AQ Deterministic Prediction System)

- GEM-MACH in-line chemical transport model is used by both of ECCC's AQ forecast systems: RAQDPS (since 2009; no wildfire emissions) and FireWork (since 2016; RAQDPS+wildfire emissions)
- Limited-area (LAM) configuration
- Meteorology provided by the GEM NWP model (initial and boundary conditions)
- 10-km horizontal grid spacing, 84 vertical levels up to 0.1 hPa
- **72**-hour runs launched **twice** daily (00, 12 UTC)
- **One-way** coupling (meteorology affects chemistry)
- 2-bin sectional representation of PM size distribution (i.e., 0-2.5 μm and 2.5-10 μm) with 8 chemical PM components
- Full process representation of oxidant and aerosol chemistry:
 - gas-, aqueous- & heterogeneous chemistry mechanism
 - aerosol dynamics
 - dry and wet deposition



https://weather.gc.ca/aqfm/index_e.html

Emissions Inventories	In operations until Sept. 2018	In operations since Sept. 2018			
Canada	2010	2013			
U.S.A.	2011	2017*			
Mexico	1999	2008			

* Projected from 2011

NOAA OPERATIONAL AQ SYSTEM: NAQFC (National Air Quality Forecast Capability)

- Operationally integrated system at NOAA: North American Mesoscale forecast system (NAM) meteorology as input to the Community Multiscale Air Quality modeling system (CMAQ)
- Regional model with 12 km horizontal resolution
- Hourly predictions for 48-hour simulations
- EPA's CMAQ version 5.0.2 with CB05 chemical mechanism and AERO-6 aerosol module
- Emissions inventories: U.S. NEI 2014v2 (with adjustments), Canada 2011, Mexico 2012
- Wildfire locations from NESDIS satellite detections; particulate emissions modeled using USFS BlueSky
- AQ predictions from this system are operational over the U.S.A.
 - ozone since 2010 (for 48 contiguous states since 2007)
 - PM_{2.5} since **2016**



COPERNICUS ATMOSPHERE MONITORING SERVICE (CAMS) OPERATIONAL AQ SYSTEM

- Part of ECMWF's Integrated Forecasting System (IFS)
- Global forecast with 40 km (T511) horizontal resolution and 137 levels up to 0.1 hPa
- **Two** forecasts daily (00 and 12 UTC) over **5** days
- Modules for chemistry and aerosol (not coupled)
 - CB05 chemical mechanism, Cariolle stratospheric ozone
 - LMDz aerosol module (3xDD, 3xSS, 2xOM, 2xBC, SO₄, SO₂)
- Data assimilation (4DVAR) of O₃, NO₂, CO and AOD to improve initial conditions
- Emissions:
 - Anthropogenic: CAMS-GLOB-ANT global emissions
 - Biogenic: MEGAN monthly mean
 - Biomass burning: GFAS (made CAMS) based on MODIS FRP
- AQ predictions since 2007 and with CDA since 2008
- Control forecast (0 UTC) without CDA
- Reanalysis of atmospheric composition from 2003-present day



Fire activity analyses



(https://atmosphere.copernicus.eu)





European Commission

RECENT OR PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS

NOAA/NWS	ECCC	CAMS-ECMWF
 NAM-CMAQ (20181216) Updated PM_{2.5} bias correction New bias-corrected O₃ product Updated anthropogenic emissions (NEI2014v2) 	 RAQDPS020 (20180918) New IAU-based meteorological initialization Faster meteorological spin-up New emissions (2013 Cdn, projected 2017 U.S., 2008 MX) 	 45r1 upgrade (20180626) Passive monitoring of Sentinel 5P O3 and NO2 GOME-2 NO2 assimilation New sea salt scheme Prognostic ozone and aerosol input to NWP radiation
 Emissions Update (20190501) Wildfire emissions back on New EGU point source emissions Current testing includes: CMAQ driven by meteorology from the new GFS system with FV3 dynamical core CMAQ predictions to 72 hours 	 FireWork020.2 (20190412) New wildfire module (CFFEPS) with: modelled fire spread and growth using forecasted meteorology plume injection height based on fire energy thermodynamics RAQDPS021 (20190703) New GEM version (GEM5) and physical parameterizations More vertical levels (80 → 84) 	 46r1 upgrade (20190712) Assimilation of S5P data 137 vertical levels Nitrate and SOA aerosol representation 24 h GFAS biomass burning data Upgrade to global CAMS emissions New online dust emission
 Updates to fire emissions Potentially other emissions improvements 	 New SOA formation pathway Meteorological modulation of fugitive dust emissions AQ forecast extended to 72 h 	47r1 upgrade (20201006)

AQ Measurement Stations Available in Near-Real Time



AUTOMATED VERIFICATION SYSTEM

- Monthly evaluation statistics for each AQ modelling system are calculated automatically early in the following month for 7 regions (domain, Canada, U.S., WCAN, ECAN, WUSA, EUSA)
- Statistics are calculated for forecast O₃, NO₂, and PM_{2.5} for the 12 UTC runs
- Since AQ episodes and acute health impacts are of greatest concern, most monthly statistics are calculated based on observed and predicted *daily maximum* values (paired by day but not necessarily by hour)
- The standard statistics are n, Y, MB, MFB, NMB, R, FAC2, NMGE, RMSE, URMSE, sigma Y, and var Y (where n is the number of model-measurement pairs and Y is the predicted species concentration)
- A new non-dimensional summary statistic, AQPI (AQ Performance Index), which is based on 3 standard non-dimensional statistics (FAC2, R, MFB), is also calculated, where AQPI = 100 * [FAC2 + R + (1-ABS(MFB/2))] / 3
- Hour-of-day-specific statistics are also calculated for every *third* hour (to align with IFS outputs) to examine the variation of model errors by time of day

MULTI-MODEL Q2 PERFORMANCE: AQPI, APRIL–JUNE 2020

Domain: NAQFC–RAQDPS intersection (southern Canada and continental USA)

Origin	System	O ₃		NO ₂			PM _{2.5}			
Ungin		April	May	June	April	May	June	April	May	June
ECMWF	IFS-CAMS	85	87	86	56	54	56	66	63	67
NOAA	NAQFC	88	90	89	-	-	-	59	59	59
ECCC	RAQDPS	86	88	90	68	67	67	55	55	49
ECCC	FireWork	86	88	90	68	67	67	56	55	51

Legend	AQPI (%)				
Excellent	[90,100]				
Very good	[80,90[
Good	[70,80[
Acceptable	[60,70[
Poor	[50,60[
Very poor	<50				

- O₃: All systems had very good performances. NAQFC reached the excellent category in May and RAQDPS did the same in June.
- NO₂ : RAQDPS has the best performance.
- **PM_{2.5}** : **IFS-CAMS** has the best performance.

¹¹ AQPI[O₃,NO₂,PM_{2.5}]= 100*AVG [FAC2, R, (1-ABS(MFB/2))]

MONTHLY MEAN 48-H DIURNAL TIME SERIES (DOMAIN: NAQFC-RAQDPS INTERSECTION)





Forecast Hour



AQPI[O₃,NO₂,PM_{2.5}]= 100*AVG [FAC2, R, (1-ABS(MFB/2))]

13



FAC2= fraction of data satisfying the criterion $0.5 \le P_i/O_i \le 2$



MONTHLY MFB (MEAN O3 FRACTIONAL BIAS) (LAST 15 MONTHS)



Domain: NAQFC– RAQDPS intersection



 $\mathbf{MFB} = 2\sum (P_i - O_i) / \sum (P_i + O_i)$

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS (1)

To date nearly 4 years of operational AQ forecasts for North America from 3 regional AQ forecast models and one global AQ forecast model have been collected for 3 pollutant species: O_3 , NO_2 , and $PM_{2.5}$

We can use this new evaluation database to examine and compare the performance of these 4 AQ forecast systems (RAQDPS/FireWork, NAQFC, and CAMS-IFS) for multiple statistics from multiple perspectives, including:

- Time trends
- Time of year (month or season) and time of day (hour)
- Regional differences (e.g., west vs. east) [not shown]
- Urban vs. rural differences
- Impacts of modelling system upgrades

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS (2)

Evaluation results can help each forecast centre by showing similarities and differences in error patterns, which may be understood in part by comparing such primary modelling system characteristics as

- model inputs (e.g, anthropogenic emissions, natural emissions)
- AQ process representations
- chemical boundary conditions
- chemical data assimilation

This side-by-side analysis suggests that even though these 4 AQ forecast systems have many differences (e.g., meteorological and chemical representations, inputs, numerics, domains and grids), they are all affected by similar issues and uncertainties and no model consistently outperforms the others; impacts of some model upgrades can also be seen from sudden changes in some evaluation statistics

FUTURE WORK

A set of standard evaluation products is now being disseminated quarterly to the 3 forecast centres, but more metrics may be added

Other AQ operational forecast systems could be added to this North American multi-model performance analysis

Additional evaluations could be considered, such as spatial pattern analysis, diagnostic evaluations, and case studies

Multi-model ensemble forecasts could also be constructed and evaluated





Thank You





A NEW AIR QUALITY PERFORMANCE INDEX (AQPI)

Many statistical metrics are available. However, a review of recent publications suggested that several statistics are frequently used by various modelling groups for AQ performance analyses of multiple species: FAC2, NMB, MFB, R

The following statistics were selected for ECCC's AQPI analysis:

Factor-of-2 Fraction FAC2

(measure of error or scatter)

(measure of linearity of relationship)

- Provides fraction (0-1) of modelled & observed pairs meeting this criterion (M_i are modelled and O_i are observed concentrations); <u>dimensionless</u> statistic, not sensitive to outliers
- Correlation Coefficient R

۲

✓ <u>Dimensionless</u>, values between -1 and 1

Mean Fractional Bias MFB

(measure of bias or offset)

- ✓ Where MFB=2 x [$(M_i O_i)/(M_i + O_i)$] and 1-ABS(MFB/2) provides values in range 0-1
- ✓ <u>Dimensionless</u>, symmetric and bounded statistic (vs. NMB, which is asymmetric and unbounded)

Pollutant-Specific Performance Index (PI) Equation:

P[O₃,NO₂,PM_{2.5}]= 100*AVG [**FAC2** + **R** + (1-ABS(**MFB**/2))]

• Provides values ranging from -33 to 0 (no skill) to 100 (perfect model)

<u>Note</u>: Statistics are calculated using maximum daily concentrations (observed and forecasted)

Objective: ECCC would like to analyse overall AQ system performance taking into account different statistical properties. These statistics are presented every month to an internal steering committee (Comité des passes opérationnelles et parallèles).