

19<sup>th</sup> CMAS Conference October 26<sup>th</sup> – 30<sup>th</sup>, 2020

## A method for identifying challenges to air quality management and developing robust management strategies

### Paelina DeStephano<sup>1</sup>, Dan Loughlin<sup>2</sup>, Chris Nolte<sup>2</sup>, Steve Smith<sup>3</sup>

- 1. ORISE researchers at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- 2. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- 3. Joint Global Change Research Institute, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

Office of Research and Development Center for Environmental Measurement and Modeling Disclaimer: The views expressed in this presentation are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views or policies of the U.S. EPA or other author institutions



### Project Background

- Tailoring GCAM-USA to support state policy decision making and address state needs
- "Identify emerging challenges to air quality management and GHG mitigation"



### Project Background

- Tailoring GCAM-USA to support state policy decision making and address state needs
- "Identify emerging challenges to air quality management and GHG mitigation"
  - Time horizon to 2050
  - From predictive to possible
  - Addressing these challenges



## Modeling Approach

### Large scenario set

- 2-3 levels of each scenario dimension
- Policy combinations



## Modeling Approach







### **Scenario Dimensions**





## Stakeholder Engagement

# Choice of dimensions and policies informed by stakeholders

- CO, MD, NC, OR, VA and MARAMA
- Primary interest in policy



- Example based on the transportation sector
- National CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
- Four dimensions, 2-3 levels
  - Socioeconomics
  - ZEV Policy
  - Transportation Demand
  - Load Factor



- Example based on the transportation sector
- National CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
- Four dimensions, 2-3 levels
  - Socioeconomics
  - ZEV Policy
  - Transportation Demand
  - Load Factor

SSP3: Low population and GDP growth

SSP2: Reference case

SSP5: High population and GDP growth



- Example based on the transportation sector
- National CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
- Four dimensions, 2-3 levels
  - Socioeconomics
  - ZEV Policy
  - Transportation Demand
  - Load Factor

None: Market-driven ZEV share (8-15% in 2050)

ZEV policy: High ZEV share (50-85% in 2050)



- Example based on the transportation sector
- National CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
- Four dimensions, 2-3 levels
  - Socioeconomics
  - ZEV Policy
  - Transportation Demand
  - Load Factor

Low: 20% decrease from reference in 2050 Medium: Reference High: 20% increase from reference in 2050



- Example based on the transportation sector
- National CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
- Four dimensions, 2-3 levels
  - Socioeconomics
  - ZEV Policy
  - Transportation Demand
  - Load Factor

(people per vehicle)

Low: 25% decrease from reference in 2050 Medium: Reference High: 25% increase from reference in 2050



- Example based on the transportation sector
- National CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
- Four dimensions, 2-3 levels
  - Socioeconomics
  - ZEV Policy
  - Transportation Demand
  - Load Factor

### 54 combinations





### • Visual factor mapping

- Tables and parallel axes plots for scenarios with specified outcomes
- Scenario identification through regression trees
  - What dimensions have the greatest impact on outcomes?



### Illustrative Results

#### US CO2 Emissions



### Highest Emissions: 1880 MTC

Median Emissions: 1330 MTC

Lowest Emissions: 1100 MTC

### **Illustrative Results**



**United States** 

**Environmental Protection** 



Higl

Emissi

### **Illustrative Results**

า ons	Total Emissions (MTC)	Emissions % from Median	Socioeconomics	Policy	Transportation Demand	Load Factor
	1880	42%	SSP5	None	High	Low
	1800	35%	SSP5	None	High	Medium
	1740	31%	SSP5	None	High	High
	1720	30%	SSP5	None	Medium	Low
	1660	25%	SSP5	None	Medium	Medium
	1630	22%	SSP5	ZEV	High	Medium
	1620	22%	SSP5	ZEV	High	High
	1620	22%	SSP5	None	Medium	High
	1600	21%	SSP5	ZEV	High	Low
	1600	20%	SSP5	None	Low	Low
	1550	17%	SSP5	None	Low	Medium



Hig

**Emiss** 

### **Illustrative Results**

h ons	Total Emissions (MTC)	Emissions % from Median	Socioeconomics	Policy	Transportation Demand	Load Factor
	1880	42%	SSP5	None	High	Low
	1800	35%	SSP5	None	High	Medium
	1740	31%	SSP5	None	High	High
	1720	30%	SSP5	None	Medium	Low
	1660	25%	SSP5	None	Medium	Medium
	1630	22%	SSP5	ZEV	High	Medium
	1620	22%	SSP5	ZEV	High	High
	1620	22%	SSP5	None	Medium	High
	1600	21%	SSP5	ZEV	High	Low
	1600	20%	SSP5	None	Low	Low
	1550	17%	SSP5	None	Low	Medium



Lo

Emiss

### **Illustrative Results**

<i>w</i> ions	Total Emissions (MTC)	Emissions % from Median	Socioeconomics	Policy	Transportation Demand	Load Factor
	1100	-17%	SSP3	ZEV	Low	Low
	1110	-17%	SSP3	ZEV	Low	High
	1110	-17%	SSP3	ZEV	Low	Medium
	1130	-15%	SSP3	ZEV	Medium	Low
	1140	-14%	SSP3	ZEV	Medium	High
	1140	-14%	SSP3	ZEV	Medium	Medium
	1150	-13%	SSP3	ZEV	High	Low
	1160	-13%	SSP3	None	Low	High
	1170	-12%	SSP3	ZEV	High	High
	1170	-12%	SSP3	ZEV	High	Medium
	1180	-11%	SSP3	None	Low	Medium



Lo

Emiss

### **Illustrative Results**

w ions	Total Emissions (MTC)	Emissions % from Median	Socioeconomics	Policy	Transportation Demand	Load Factor
	1100	-17%	SSP3	ZEV	Low	Low
	1110	-17%	SSP3	ZEV	Low	High
	1110	-17%	SSP3	ZEV	Low	Medium
	1130	-15%	SSP3	ZEV	Medium	Low
	1140	-14%	SSP3	ZEV	Medium	High
	1140	-14%	SSP3	ZEV	Medium	Medium
	1150	-13%	SSP3	ZEV	High	Low
	1160	-13%	SSP3	None	Low	High
	1170	-12%	SSP3	ZEV	High	High
	1170	-12%	SSP3	ZEV	High	Medium
	1180	-11%	SSP3	None	Low	Medium



### Illustrative Results

Key = Mean CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions (MTC)















### Policy insights

- Population growth may challenge GHG reduction goals
- ZEV policy and transportation demand management can temper challenge



## Summary and Next Steps

- Develop representations of dimensions and policies
- Expand analysis to air pollutant emissions
- Iterate with stakeholders
- Execute model runs
- Analyze and distribute data



# Questions?

### DeStephano.Paelina@EPA.gov