Filling the gaps: Estimating roadway emissions using inconsistent traffic measurements in Las Vegas, Nevada near-road field study. Michelle G. Snyder¹, Ryan Cleary¹, and R. Chris Owen²

ABSTRACT

The accuracy of air quality modeling is reliant on the validity of model input. For modeling projects that include emissions from mobile sources, real-time traffic measurements of volume, speed, and vehicle type are needed to determine emissions from a roadway. Traffic measurements, like all measurements, can have uncertainties, inaccuracies, and errors. However, unlike many other measurements, there are fewer standards for calibration and QA. Thus, when there are unexpected changes in traffic, such as lane shifts or instrument error resulting in missing data, they are often undiagnosed. As a result, inaccurate traffic measurements misrepresent true roadway conditions and hinder the ability to produce reasonable emissions estimates for an air-quality model. In the Las Vegas near-road field study, missing traffic measurements and measurements with suspiciously low volumes and speeds were identified for significant periods in the yearlong study. In this work, we leverage near-by and on-site traffic measurements to fill the gaps when traffic measurements are suspicious or missing. We examine traffic volume and speed patterns across multiple measurement locations and develop a methodology to determine the most accurate estimates of traffic volume and speeds throughout the Las Vegas near-road field study when traffic measurements are missing or inconsistent. The adjusted traffic estimates will be used in a refined modeling exercise, which will be compared with air quality measurements to determine the importance of accurate traffic estimates in the air quality modeling process.

TRAFFIC MEASUREMENTS

EPA Measurement Location



- Las Vegas, Nevada with an estimated 206,000 Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) traveling on Interstate 15 (North-South).
- Traffic measurements of volume, length, and speeds for each lane of Northbound (NB) and Southbound (SB) traffic.
- Measurements from December 2008 January 2010. We focus on 2009 measurements only.
- Measured lengths >= 30m are assumed to be Heavy-Duty (HD) vehicles. All others assumed to be Light-Duty (LD) vehicles.
- Recreational destination with inter- and intrastate traffic patterns and a trade corridor.

, S. et. al. 2013. Long-term continuous measurement of near-road air pollution in Las Vegas: seasonal variability in traffic emissions impact on local air quality. Air Quality, Atmosphere & Health, 6(1), pp.295-305.

EPA Traffic Volume Measurements

- Northbound hourly measurements:
- Traffic for all lanes have discontinuities in March and October.
- Lane 1 traffic counts reduce to nearly zero April – October, then missing.
- Lane 2 missing October December.
- Lane 3 maintains the most "steady" volume throughout the year.

Southbound hourly measurements:

- All Lanes have "steady" traffic volumes throughout the year.
- The spread of hourly measurements is consistent throughout all lanes and throughout the year, suggesting similar diurnal patterns throughout the year.



Supplemental Traffic Measurements from Nevada DOT



Blue Diamond

¹ Wood, RTP, NC ² Environmental Protection Agency, RTP, NC

FILLING THE GAPS



- patterns, although NB and SB are quite different.

Once we determined normalized traffic patterns to use for the EPA traffic. We explored four options to estimate the AADT for EPA NB. The options consisted of using the non-suspicious EPA NB lanes, and looking at the ratio of traffic volumes between the NB and SB lanes for the Sahara and Blue Diamond sites and using the EPA SB measured AADT. This AADT will be used with the normalized traffic volume patterns to determine an hourly traffic volumes estimate for the EPA NB source.





Methods similar to this could be used to adjust traffic volumes when there are incomplete or suspicious traffic volume measurements to allow comparisons of modeled air quality to measured air quality to increase accuracy and performance of both air quality and emissions models.

The authors would like to thank Sue Kimbrough (U.S. EPA) for her thoughtful insights into this dataset and analysis effort.



DETERMINING AADT

