United States Environmental Protection Agency

The 2017 National Emission Inventory for Crop Residue Burning

George Pouliot⁺, Jeff Vukovich^{*}, and Venkatesh Rao^{*} *National Exposure Research Laboratory, EPA, Research Triangle Park, NC

*Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, EPA, Research Triangle Park, NC

George Pouliot | pouliot.george@epa.gov | 919-541-5475

Background

- Biomass burning is an important contributor to the degradation of air quality because of its impact on ozone, particulate matter and Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPS).
- Crop residue burning has been a challenge to characterize in previous emission inventories because states are not required to report this source. Many states do not have information on this emission source and reporting by states is often sporadic and inconsistent.
- Many midwestern states disagree with the remote sensing observations of crop residue fires occurring over corn and soybean fields. Southeastern states suggest that there are more fires than detected by remote observation.
- A more robust method for estimating emissions from this source has been implemented in the 2014 NEI and now is improved for 2016 modeling platform and the 2017 NEI.
- HAP emission factors inconsistent with VOC emission factors in the 2002,2005,2008,2011,2014 NEIs.

Evolution of Crop Residue Emission NEI Methods

Year	Туре	PM _{2.5} (Tons/Yr)	Notes
2002	NEI	224,684	23 states reported only; no satellite information used
2005	NEI		not updated
2008	NEI	49,653	fire detections used 1 field size, all emission factors mapped to 1 SCC
2011	NEI	141.184	Combines satellite-based procedure (changes in land surfaces over 8 day periods) from McCarty (2011) with updates from states
2014	NEI	64,994	Pouliot et al (2017) method; final version 1 with state data
2014	NEI	19,623	Pouliot et al. (2017) without grasslands
2016	Beta platform	23,633	2014 method with limited state data without grasslands
2017	NEI draft	32,802	Draft for states to review without grasslands



Comparison of 2014, 2016 and 2017 PM₂₅ estimates

Notes: 2014 includes both grasslands and crop residue; 2016 and 2017 are crop residue only; GA submitted data for 2016 but EPA estimates are shown Totals are lower in FL&GA for 2016&2017 because 2014 includes state submitted data and 2016&2017 do not; 2017 increases because VIIRS and GOES-R became operational and report more detections

Comparison of Satellite Detections by Year

	2014	2016	2017	J
Total Number of Detections	368,621	338,783	756,850	
Detections outside of the lower 48 states	196,875	106,312	317,261	
VIIRS detections removed in 2016 only	0	16,578	0	
Filtered because of snow covered areas	6,701	4,113	7,214	
Filtered in the midwest	3,855	6,622	10,456	
Filtered because of duplication	5,243	8,348	24,885	
Detections that were not agricultural and used for				
Wildfire and Prescribed Fires	108,203	133,218	306,874	
Replaced with State submitted data from Idaho	0	821	0	
Grasslands	22,699	31,362	50,380	
				1
Actual Number used for Emission Estimates for Crop				
Residue Burning	25,030	31,409	39,780	ľ

Incremental update to Pouliot et al. (2017) method

- Grassland/Pasture no longer part of ag burning; included in prescribed/wildfire
- No double counting with other parts of the fire inventory: wildfire & prescribed fire
- · State review of data with additional filtering shown in table
- Crop residue emissions: day-specific, county level, by crop type emission inventory (except for Washington State)

2016 Method

· State specific inputs replace EPA estimates in ID, KS, WA; (GA planned)

2017 Method

- Same as 2016 Method except emission factor table update
 - · State specific inputs will be incorporated in upcoming NEI review process

Summary

- 2016 and 2017 for crop residue used data from multiple sources and addressed some of the shortcomings in previous methods for this sector
- Grasslands which were included in 2014 are now separated out in 2016 and 2017 because grasslands fires may be wildfires that need to be reconciled with other data sources in SMARTFIRE
- · Revised Emission Factors to improve consistency between HAP and CAP inventories.

References

Hall, D., Wu, C.Y., Hsu, Y.M., Stormer, J., Engling, G., Capeto, K., Wang, J., Brown, S., Li, H.W. and Yu, K.M. (2012). PAHs, carbonyls, VOCs and PM2.5 emission factors for pre-harvest burning of Florida sugarcane. Atmospheric Environment, 55, 164-172.

Hays, M. D., Fine, P. M., Geron, C. D., Kleeman, M. J., & Gullett, B. K. (2005). Open burning of agricultural biomass: physical and chemical properties of particle-phase emissions. Atmospheric Environment, 39(36), 6747-6764.

McCarty, J. L. (2011). Remote Sensing-Based Estimates of Annual and Seasonal Emissions from Crop Residue Burning in the Contiguous United States. Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association 61 (1), 22-34.

Pouliot, G., Rao, V., McCarty, J. L., & Soja, A. (2017). Development of the crop residue and rangeland burning in the 2014 National Emissions Inventory using information from multiple sources. Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association, 67(5), 613-622.

Schreuder, M., & Mavko, M. (2010). Review of Agricultural Crop Residue Loading, Emission Factors, and Remote Fire Detection. Air Tech Sciences Memo.

Disclaimer: Although this poster has been peer-reviewed, it does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

2017 Crop Residue Burning Emission Factor	Table for PM _{2.5} , VOC, and selected HAPs
---	--

Source Classification								1,3-				
Code	Crop Type	PM 2.5	VOC	formaldehyde	acetaldehyde	n-hexane	benzene	butadiene	toluene	m&p-xylene	styrene	o-xylen
		g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg
2801500150	corn	4.97 ^{a,c}	9.24	0.513	0.761	0.086	0.114	0.081	0.085	0.024	0.013	0.009
2801500262	wheat	4.03 a,c	9.34 °	0.678 °	0.550 °	0.157 º	0.110 e	0.064 °	0.047 •	0.012 º	0.009 °	0.008
2801500141	soybean	6.19 ^{a,c}	9.24	0.513	0.761	0.086	0.114	0.081	0.085	0.024	0.013	0.009
2801500160	cotton	6.19 ^{a,c}	9.24	0.513	0.761	0.086	0.114	0.081	0.085	0.024	0.013	0.009
2801500171	fallow	6.16 ^{a,c}	9.24	0.513	0.761	0.086	0.114	0.081	0.085	0.024	0.013	0.009
2801500220	rice	2.36 a,c	9.13 °	0.348 °	0.972 °	0.016 °	0.117 e	0.098 °	0.123 º	0.035 °	0.016 °	0.011 •
2801500250	sugarcane	4.35 a,c	1.84 ^d	0.150 b	0.045 ^b	0.000 b	0.017 ^b	0.000 b	0.005 b	0.001 b	0.000 ^b	0.000 t
2801500142	lentils	6.16 ^{a,c}	9.24	0.513	0.761	0.086	0.114	0.081	0.085	0.024	0.013	0.009
2801500000	Other crops	11.61 ^{a,c}	9.24	0.513	0.761	0.086	0.114	0.081	0.085	0.024	0.013	0.009

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Research and Development

^a McCarty (2011).^b Hall et al. (2012).^c Pouliot et al. (2017).^d Schreuder and Mavko (2010)
^e Hays personal communication (2018) and Hays et al. (2005)
Note: Emission factors without a footnote were derived from an average of wheat and rice factors.