

# DIAGNOSTIC STUDY ON FINE PARTICULATE MATTER PREDICTIONS OF CMAQ IN THE SOUTHEASTERN U.S.

Ping Liu and Yang Zhang\*

Department of Marine, Earth, and Atmospheric Sciences,  
North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA

Shaocai Yu<sup>§</sup>, Prakash V. Bhave<sup>+</sup>, Robert W. Pinder<sup>+</sup>, and Kenneth L. Schere<sup>+</sup>

Atmospheric Sciences Modeling Division, NOAA, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA

<sup>§</sup> (On assignment from Science and Technology Corporation)

<sup>+</sup> (In partnership with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) is a significant pollutant due to its impacts on human health, visibility degradation, and climate change. The complex physical and chemical processes associated with PM<sub>2.5</sub> formation, transport, and fate make the accurate representation of PM<sub>2.5</sub> difficult in air quality models. An operational evaluation of the U.S. EPA Models-3/Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ, v4.4) modeling system was conducted previously for the 1999 Southern Oxidants Study episode for the period of 12-28 June 1999. The results show that the CMAQ model significantly underpredicts nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>), organic carbon (OC), and black carbon (BC), and moderately overpredicts sulfate (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) during this episode (Liu et al., 2005).

Process analysis (PA) is an approach that tracks the contribution of a process to the species conservation equation (Jeffries and Tonnesen, 1994). It has been applied to study ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) chemistry and transport (Jeffries and Tonnesen, 1994; Jang et al., 1995; Jiang et al., 2003; O'Neill and Lamb, 2005), impacts of climate change on O<sub>3</sub> and particles (Hogrefe et al., 2005), and particle number concentration and size distribution (Zhang et al., 2005). PA consists of the Integrated Process Rates (IPRs) analyses and Integrated Reaction Rates (IRRs) analyses. IPRs quantify the contributions of different physical and chemical processes to the ambient concentrations of the species of interest; IRRs quantify the chemical evolution of gaseous species (Byun and Ching, 1999). In this study, we use the PA tool embedded in CMAQ to examine major processes that govern the fate of key pollutants, identify the most influential processes that contribute to model errors, and guide the diagnostic and sensitivity studies aimed at improving model predictions of inorganic PM<sub>2.5</sub>. IPRs and IRRs are first

calculated and analyzed for PM<sub>2.5</sub> mass, PM<sub>2.5</sub> composition, and gaseous precursors of secondary PM<sub>2.5</sub> at surface layer to identify dominant processes. Eight sites in the Southeastern Aerosol Research and Characterization (SEARCH) network in the southeastern U.S. are selected for IPRs analyses. The IPRs are calculated as an average of the hourly values over a 15-day period for a block of grid cells that contains each site, where the block is defined as the grid cell in which the site is located and its eight surrounding grid cells. The correlation of the large model errors and the individual process contributions are then analyzed for SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> at SEARCH urban and rural sites (where the large model error refers to a value of > 20% for the absolute difference between predictions and observations). If a particular process is highly correlated with the large errors, this process may contribute to the error. The IRRs of 214 reactions in the Statewide Air Pollution Research Center Mechanism (SAPRC99) are also analyzed, especially those reactions related to HNO<sub>3</sub> production and depletion. Finally, several sensitivity simulations are conducted to analyze the model responses to changes in key processes identified via IPRs and those that are correlated with model errors. Specific uncertainties examined through sensitivity studies include the dry deposition velocities of PM<sub>2.5</sub> precursors (e.g., HNO<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, and SO<sub>2</sub>), the emissions of NH<sub>3</sub>, and the in-cloud SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> formation (e.g., the aqueous-phase oxidation of SO<sub>2</sub> in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and cloud).

## 2. SUMMARY

Major physical and chemical processes (≥ 10% of total production or loss in a block of cells) contributing to the changes of surface PM<sub>2.5</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, and their precursors, HNO<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, and SO<sub>2</sub>, are identified in both urban and rural areas. Emissions and aerosol processes are two major contributors to the increase of PM<sub>2.5</sub>, while vertical transport, dry deposition, and cloud processes contribute to the loss of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in most areas. The dominant processes may

\*Corresponding author: Yang Zhang, Department of Marine, Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Campus Box 8208, NCSU, Raleigh, NC 27695; e-mail: [yang\\_zhang@ncsu.edu](mailto:yang_zhang@ncsu.edu)

be different for different PM<sub>2.5</sub> components and their gaseous precursors. Column IPRs will be further analyzed to understand the effects of different processes in the boundary layer. The correlation between major atmospheric processes in the surface layer and model biases shows that the largest model errors at urban sites correlate with vertical transport and emissions for both SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and with aerosol processes for NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. At rural sites, the positively-correlated processes include aerosol processes, vertical transport, cloud processes for SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, and horizontal and vertical transport for NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. The strongest anti-correlation is found for dry deposition and mass balance adjustment at both urban and rural sites. Large contrasts between urban and rural sites exist for the correlations of model biases with some processes such as aerosol processes and emissions for SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>.

The sensitivity studies show that decreased dry deposition velocities of HNO<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> and increased NH<sub>3</sub> emissions can increase NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> formation, therefore appreciably improving NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> predictions when they are both underpredicted. A 10% reduction of dissolved H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentration cannot significantly affect the SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> formation during this episode. More sensitivity simulations can be conducted to investigate other possible reasons that affect SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, including the emissions of SO<sub>2</sub> and primary SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, the rate constant for the gas-phase SO<sub>2</sub> oxidation reaction (i.e., with OH), dinitrogen pentoxide (N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) hydrolysis, and PM processes such as gas-to-particle conversion via thermodynamic equilibrium and condensation. For example, the rate constant of gas-phase SO<sub>2</sub> oxidation by OH used in SAPRC99 is up to 15% higher than that of the Carbon Bond Mechanism IV (CBM-IV) under some particular conditions (i.e., relatively low temperature and relatively high pressure), since this oxidation reaction is both temperature and pressure dependent. This may contribute partly to the overpredictions in SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>. As indicated in Bhave et al. (2006), the heterogeneous reaction probability of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> is a function of not only SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations, but also temperature and relative humidity. Uncertainties in the rate of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> hydrolysis may likely contribute to the model bias in simulating PM<sub>2.5</sub> and its composition.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is performed under the National Science Foundation Award No. Atm-0348819, and the Memorandum of Understanding between the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the U.S. Department of Commerce's National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and under agreement number DW13921548. The authors thank Steve Howard and Alice Gilliland, U.S. NOAA/EPA,

for providing the Fortran code for extracting data from CMAQ and the CASTNet, IMPROVE, and AIRS-AQS observational databases. Although this work was reviewed by EPA and approved for publication, it may not necessarily reflect official Agency policy.

## REFERENCES

- Bhave, P.V., G. Sarwar, W. Appel, and R. Dennis (2006), Revised treatment of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> hydrolysis in CMAQ, the 5<sup>th</sup> Annual CMAS Models-3 User's Conference, Oct 16-18, 2006, Chapel Hill, NC, submitted.
- Byun, D.W., and J.K.S. Ching (1999), Science algorithms of the EPA Models-3 Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) Modeling System, EPA/600/R-99/030, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency: Research Triangle Park, NC.
- Hogrefe, C., B. Lynn, C. Rosenzweig, R. Goldberg, K. Civerolo, J.-Y. Ku, J. Rosenthal, K. Knowlton, and P.L. Kinney (2005), Utilizing CMAQ process analysis to understand the impacts of climate change on ozone and particulate matter, the 4<sup>th</sup> Annual CMAS Models-3 User's Conference, Sept 26-28, 2005, Chapel Hill, NC.
- Jang, J.C.C., H.E. Jeffries, and S. Tonnesen (1995), Sensitivity of ozone to model grid resolution-II. Detailed process analysis for ozone chemistry, *Atmos. Environ.*, 29, 3101-3114.
- Jeffries, H.E., and S. Tonnesen (1994), A comparison of two photochemical reaction mechanisms using mass balance and process analysis, *Atmos. Environ.*, 28, 2991-3003.
- Jiang, G., B.K. Lamb, and H. Westberg (2003), Using back trajectories and process analysis to investigate photochemical ozone production in the Puget Sound region, *Atmos Environ.*, 37, 1489-1502.
- Liu, P., E. Frazier, D. Hamilton, Y. Zhang, S. Yu, P.V. Bhave, S. Howard, and K.L. Schere (2005), Simulating ozone and PM with CMAQ in southeast U.S.: Application, evaluation, and process analysis, the 4<sup>th</sup> Annual CMAS Models-3 User's Conference, Sept 26-28, 2005, Chapel Hill, NC.
- O'Neill, S.M., and B.K. Lamb (2005), Intercomparison of the Community Multiscale Air Quality Model and CALGRID using process analysis, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 39, 5742-5753.
- Zhang, Y., P. Liu, K. Wang, M.Z. Jacobson, P.V. Bhave, S. Yu, S.J. Roselle, and K.L. Schere (2005), Predicting aerosol number and size distribution with CMAQ: Homogeneous nucleation algorithms and process analysis, the 4<sup>th</sup> Annual CMAS Models-3 User's Conference, Sept 26-28, 2005, Chapel Hill, NC.