# SOURCE ATTRIBUTION OF AIR POLLUTION ABATEMENT HEALTH BENEFITS

2011 CMAS Conference

Amanda Pappin, Amir Hakami

**Carleton University** 

October 26, 2011

# **OPTIMAL CONTROL STRATEGY DESIGN**

What are the impacts of *specific* emission sources on:

- Climate change (GHGs)?
- Air quality and human health (criteria pollutants)?
  - Sensitivity questions by nature
- Backward (adjoint) sensitivity modeling can provide an answer if health benefit assessment tools are integrated with air quality modeling

### **PRESENTATION OVERVIEW**

- Background
   Estimating health benefits
   Adjoint sensitivity analysis
- Results

Health benefit sensitivities

Potential policy applications

### BACKGROUND

# **HEALTH BENEFITS IN CANADA**



(Modified from Health Canada, 2008)

#### Air Quality Benefits Assessment Tool (AQBAT)

- Criteria Air Contaminants: PM<sub>2.5</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, CO
- Monetary valuation of health endpoints to allow for benefit-cost analysis
   → dollar benefits

# **ADJOINT SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS**

Estimating the impacts of individual sources on human health

Backward (Adjoint) Analysis



Sensitivity: 
$$\frac{\delta y_j}{\delta x_{1,N}}$$

- Sensitivity of a small number of outputs with respect to a large number of inputs
- Receptor-based but differentiates between source impacts

# MAKING USE OF ADJOINT SENSITIVITIES

#### Sensitivity of what?

• Mortality in Canada (integrated across receptors)

#### Sensitivity to what?

Anthropogenic NO<sub>X</sub> emissions at each location

AQBAT Sensitivity:
$$\frac{\Delta\$}{\Delta C}$$
 $\rightarrow$  Combined Sensitivity: $\frac{\Delta\$}{\Delta E}$ CMAQ-Adjoint Sensitivity: $\frac{\Delta C}{\Delta E}$ 

# **ADJOINT TERMS**

#### Adjoint cost function:

$$J = Mortality = M$$
  
$$J = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \left( M_{0_{i,j}} \cdot POP_{i,j} \cdot \left( \beta_{O_3} \Delta C_{O_3} + \beta_{NO_2} \Delta C_{NO_2} \right) \right)$$

#### Adjoint forcing term:

$$\frac{\Delta M}{\Delta C} \approx \frac{\delta J}{\delta C} = M_0 \cdot POP \cdot \beta$$

 $\beta_{O_3} = 8.39 \cdot 10^{-4} \, ppb^{-1}$  1-hr maximum  $\beta_{NO_2} = 7.48 \cdot 10^{-4} \, ppb^{-1}$  24-hr average

## **MODELING CASE**

#### **Continental domain**

- 36 km resolution
- 13 vertical layers
- Gas-phase CMAQ-Adjoint
- July-September 2007 modeling period (90 days)



### **SENSITIVITY RESULTS**

## DAILY HEALTH BENEFITS: 03

MAX = \$33.8 M/DAY, MONTREAL



11

## DAILY HEALTH BENEFITS: NO<sub>2</sub>

MAX = \$32.5 M/DAY, TORONTO



## **TOTAL DAILY HEALTH BENEFITS**

#### MAX: \$41.7M/DAY, MONTREAL ATLANTA & HOUSTON = \$1.1M/DAY, LOS ANGELES = \$0.6M/DAY



## VARIABILITY IN HEALTH BENEFITS



## DAILY UNIT REDUCTION HEALTH BENEFITS

#### MAX: \$3,400/DAY, MONTREAL TORONTO = \$1,100/DAY, OTTAWA = \$1,500/DAY



15

### **EFFECT OF AVERAGING PERIOD**



### **POLICY APPLICATIONS**

# **1. PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS**

What are the health benefits of the Toronto subway system?

- Annual vehicle reduction (@ 11,000 miles/vehicle-yr): → 302,000
- NO<sub>X</sub> emissions reduction  $\rightarrow$  2,000 tonnes/yr (2007)
- \$1,100 benefit/day per 1 tonne NO<sub>X</sub> reduction in Toronto

\$800M benefit/yr compared to without the subway system

# **2. PERSONAL VEHICLES**

What is the health cost associated with personal vehicles in major Canadian cities?

- 1 tonne  $NO_{\chi}/yr \approx 110$  vehicles (2007)
- Toronto: \$3,800/yr per vehicle
- Ottawa: \$5,000/yr per vehicle
- Montreal: \$11,000/yr per vehicle

## **3. CAP-AND-TRADE**

What is the Benefit-to-Permit Cost ratio for a Canadian power plant operating under  $NO_X$  cap-and-trade?

 $NO_X$  permit price in the U.S. (2009)  $\approx$  \$2,000/tonne  $NO_X$ /yr

Nanticoke Generating Station, Ontario

- $\rightarrow$  2,760 MW coal-fired power plant
- $\rightarrow$  38,000 tonnes NO<sub>X</sub>/yr emitted
- $\rightarrow$  \$1,100 benefit/day per tonne NO<sub>X</sub>



Health benefits are 200 times the cost of emissions permits!

## **CONCLUDING REMARKS**

- Health benefits are vastly undervalued in current regulatory frameworks
- Intercontinental transport does not tell the whole story
- There is benefit to be seen from Canadian pollution control
- The source-specificity of adjoint modeling makes it very relevant to policy decision-making

## **FUTURE RESEARCH**

- Sectoral analysis of health benefits
- Taking advantage of temporal variability in health benefit sensitivities

Acknowledgements:

Stan Judek Health Canada

**Funding:** National Science and Engineering Research Council

### THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION